

JS-6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DU TRUONG NGUYEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
OTIS D. WRIGHT, II,
SHEILA ENGLISH, and
KAREN ILENE MEYER,

Defendants.

Case No. 8:22-cv-01524-JWH-ADS

JUDGMENT

1 Pursuant to the “Order Granting Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss [ECF
2 No. 35]” filed substantially concurrently herewith, and in accordance with
3 Rules 12 and 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,

4 It is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** as follows:

5 1. This Court possesses subject matter jurisdiction over the above-
6 captioned action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1346.


7 2. The operative pleading is the Complaint (the “Complaint”) [ECF
8 No. 1] of Plaintiff Du Truong Nguyen.

9 3. Defendants U.S. District Judge Otis D. Wright, II; Sheila English;
10 Karen Meyer; and the United States of America shall have **JUDGMENT** in
11 their **FAVOR**, and **AGAINST** Plaintiff Du Truong Nguyen. Plaintiff Du
12 Truong Nguyen shall take nothing by way of his Complaint. This action is
13 **DISMISSED**.

14 4. Other than potential post-judgment remedies (including those
15 provided in Rule 54(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure), to the extent
16 that any party requests any other form of relief, such request is **DENIED**.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 Dated: February 13, 2023

20 
21 _____
22 John W. Holcomb
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28